

Policy Intention Document

Q8 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 80 Skipped: 213

#	Responses	Date
1	I am concerned about the role of BMDC here. Co-operation with them is an alliance with the devil. I am sceptical of the eco friendly initiative and energy efficiency planned for Stanbury and am glad I don't live there.	10/16/2015 3:38 PM
2	No need for affordable homes. This means loads of council houses via the back door.	10/16/2015 3:18 PM
3	H2 - affordable housing is definitely needed.	10/16/2015 3:15 PM
4	Where are these allocated housing sites? What is affordable housing?	10/16/2015 3:05 PM
5	I think the amount of houses/apartments that are currently empty needs addressing before more are built.	10/16/2015 3:01 PM
6	I don't disagree totally with the policies. I just don't think they go far enough. Greenfield vs brown field? What really is the housing need in the area?	10/16/2015 2:54 PM
7	It is important that areas particularly Cross Roads doesn't become joined to Keighley.	10/16/2015 1:44 PM
8	Don't understand the differences.	10/16/2015 12:42 PM
9	How will you measure 'specific needs'?	10/16/2015 12:25 PM
10	I feel that it is really important that Housing policy should ensure maximum use of 'brown field' sites and existing buildings before green spaces are considered.	10/16/2015 12:23 PM
11	I would like affordable housing for working people - not bought by landlords. I would like to see Haworth pioneering self built eco houses with support from planners and councils.	10/15/2015 1:28 PM
12	The need for adequate car parking of any future developments at least 3 parking spaces.	10/15/2015 1:25 PM
13	Evidence of people and housing demographics, Use census information.	10/15/2015 1:24 PM
14	? All new build? Policy regarding use of brown field sites? Audit of empty/derelict buildings? Development of ex industrial buildings.	10/15/2015 10:47 AM
15	we do not want housing on green belt land	10/15/2015 10:43 AM
16	What is affordable housing? It is different from one area to another and if quality is maintained then there is no such thing as affordable housing.	10/15/2015 10:41 AM
17	I would like to know why people on Bronte Caravan Park pay council tax but can only remain on site for 11 months. Can this be extended to 12 months?	10/15/2015 10:38 AM
18	Be careful not to overdo number of housing builds in relation to roads, schools/doctors etc.	10/15/2015 10:27 AM
19	Take a walk around and look at all unused possible housing areas/buildings that are delapidated and eyesores. Trees that are in wrong places and too tall.	10/15/2015 10:23 AM
20	Can we please use the existing houses that have been empty for years.	10/15/2015 10:19 AM
21	If you do not create good quality housing you will not raise the profile of the area.	10/15/2015 10:15 AM
22	Though concerned about the impact of additional housing in and around Haworth.	10/15/2015 10:11 AM
23	H1 & H2 would prefer it to be will rather than may. A residential home for older people, somewhere near Myrtle Grove/Bocking would mean older people wouldn't have to move out of the village. It could also cater for people's spiritual needs as could facilitate input from local churches ensuring older people are well cared for and valued.	10/14/2015 1:25 PM
24	Disagree with core strategy police re "affordable" housing. Even 400 new units is far too many.	10/14/2015 1:13 PM
25	Agree but more emphasis on materials been in keeping with the area.	10/14/2015 1:03 PM
26	Need to see precise details of what is actually planned. This is a serious area of concern.	10/14/2015 12:49 PM
27	What is an affordable house? Don't want council houses being built but cheaper (£100,000) would be ok.	10/14/2015 12:45 PM
28	Less buy to let is required. People in buy to lets generally feel they are short term residents and don't connect to the village.	10/7/2015 1:20 PM

Policy Intention Document

29	Does the 500-400 units include the Ivy Bank Mill development? Are there any plans to protect the other mill sites. I'm surprised they aren't listed.	10/7/2015 1:15 PM
30	Don't agree with any type of new build	10/7/2015 1:08 PM
31	Where are the identified and deliverable housing sites?	10/7/2015 1:05 PM
32	I can't see how anymore housing estates can be crammed into the area.	10/7/2015 1:00 PM
33	H2 - Rates not affordable in Stanbury No houses needed in Stanbury. Many empty houses already. Not sure about Haworth but need to consider the Bronte connection. What does Eco housing mean???	10/7/2015 12:56 PM
34	Do we need more housing in this area? There are plenty of houses being rented to make money and they could be used as homes.	10/7/2015 10:48 AM
35	Tenants of affordable housing ie Incommunities mixed with people who have purchased their own house. Incommunities tenants make life very difficult for house owners due to attitude and behaviour.	10/7/2015 10:33 AM
36	There's enough housing. We are already choked with traffic at peak times.	10/7/2015 10:31 AM
37	We would like our village to remain a village not become a town. The strain on services is from all the new people/houses. Simply stop building them and use Keighley brown field sites instead where they have the infrastructure already.	10/7/2015 10:25 AM
38	could be an opportunity for much more imaginative housing eg co-housing, co-operative housing, eco buildings not dictated by building developers.	10/7/2015 10:20 AM
39	Housing should be sympathetic to the area. Brown field sites should be used where possible. Add ons to existing developments better than build new ones. Haworth has an over supply of small properties at the moment.	10/7/2015 10:10 AM
40	Agree that there is a need for new houses in the area, however there are a lot of empty properties in the area already. Can this be explored before new houses are built.	10/6/2015 10:25 AM
41	There is no NHS dentist must be addressed to coincide with affordable housing, affordable housing must include affordable living.	10/6/2015 10:13 AM
42	There will be no gap to Cross Roads/Leeds/Haworth. 15 years ofr Skipton properties.	10/6/2015 10:08 AM
43	Please please stop building on green fields until all brown sites are filled with houses.	10/6/2015 9:47 AM
44	Greenfields should not be built on especially Weavers Hill.	10/6/2015 9:42 AM
45	New houses should provide room for car parking	10/6/2015 9:39 AM
46	I think it is very important that housing should be 'eco' as much as possible including pre-installed solar panels/tiles and that social/affordable housing should be 20%+	10/6/2015 9:36 AM
47	Already plenty of housing at ALL prices and rents available.	10/6/2015 9:30 AM
48	Affordable housing is key to allowing people to both live and work in the local community	10/5/2015 2:47 PM
49	In principle - however very concerned where the allocated sites would be and impact of more housing on roads and services.	10/5/2015 2:43 PM
50	H1 It is important to visit site where an application for planning has been submitted and see if what is proposed fits in with surrounding properties. H2 Not clear. Is this affordable housing or not? We need it. H3 Who is qualified to allocate a site for housing development, this will make you very unpopular.	10/5/2015 2:35 PM
51	New house builds need more family homes (3bed+) in the area that are direct landlord lets and not agency lettings. Many families struggle to afford agency fees, this means they have to rent poor standard homes from current private landlords. Housing development also needs to consider the impact on overcrowding in local schools and extra demands on GP appointments (or lack of)	10/5/2015 2:26 PM
52	Caution re affordable housing 'rented' should be kept low as to not bring down the area.	10/5/2015 2:10 PM
53	I don't agree with any more housing sites we've already lost too mcuch greenery.	10/5/2015 2:02 PM
54	Haworth's character has completely changed due to too many new houses.	10/5/2015 1:58 PM
55	There has already been significant encroachment on green spaces. There are too many houses here now, well in excess of employment opportunities and school capacity. Houses need to be built in Bradford on brown field sites.	10/5/2015 1:52 PM

Policy Intention Document

56	Waiting times for doctors will get worse. Primary school not adequate for taking more pupils. Plenty of houses for sale or to let in Haworth.	10/5/2015 11:15 AM
57	I believe the use of derelict sites would be a good idea instead of building in green areas i.e. Ivy Bank Mill and Ebores Lane site.	10/5/2015 11:09 AM
58	Not services to cover schools, doctors surgeries, fire service.	10/5/2015 11:07 AM
59	Any major housing should surely start with development of Ivy Bank Lane eyesore and then making up of the road TFM	10/5/2015 10:59 AM
60	materials and design should be in keeping with existing housing and should be built in traditional materials ie: stone.	10/5/2015 10:55 AM
61	How does the council decide what type of houses are needed? Leave the market to determine what types sell ideally avoiding low cost.	10/5/2015 10:49 AM
62	In theory	10/5/2015 10:44 AM
63	Bradford Council should decide on housing matters. By using the appropriate cross section of knowledge and experience are geared up to making the right decisions on such important an issue. Close liaison policy only.	10/5/2015 10:36 AM
64	Need to ensure that new homes are affordable homes instead of executive homes.	10/5/2015 10:27 AM
65	Too many houses in Haworth already there must have been 400 built over the last 15/20 years.	10/3/2015 5:00 PM
66	The percentage of new build should be higher than 20% to ensure young people can afford to live here.	10/3/2015 4:55 PM
67	The last clause is worrying will 'need' override heritage issues? More conflicting policies.	10/3/2015 4:41 PM
68	I would like it to be made impossible for developers to change planning applications once passed. i.e more houses, increased floors.	10/3/2015 4:36 PM
69	Affordable housing gives the impression of building larger numbers of houses, therefore larger numbers of people moving to the area and over stretching the limited resources. The higher number of units quoted is far too high for the area.	10/3/2015 4:31 PM
70	Affordable housing in Haworth should be for local people. Our young people can't get on the property ladder.	10/3/2015 4:22 PM
71	Will the infrastructure - schools- medical facilities- be in place before the families move in?	10/2/2015 3:35 PM
72	Please, Brown field before Green field development.	10/2/2015 3:19 PM
73	Again, I can see this getting bogged down and developments getting snared in paperwork.	10/2/2015 3:10 PM
74	H2 - only if local connection has to be continued to 2nd/3rd owner. H3 - support renovation of derelict property, grants for flats over shops and mill conversion not demolition. Affordable housing MUST be social housing & not just sold cheaply to first time buyer who then sell it on at the profit.Older people friendly houses. No gated communities makes the place look crime ridden.	10/2/2015 2:57 PM
75	Should make some stand against second homes in the area.	10/2/2015 2:44 PM
76	The Ivy Bank Mill site must be utilized and the access problem solved by looking at a different idea. e.g. by having an entrance or exit to Bridghouse Lane.	10/2/2015 2:40 PM
77	This is the area that concerns me most - unsuitable new housing in areas of great beauty.	10/2/2015 10:07 AM
78	No more housing at all.	9/30/2015 10:29 AM
79	More bungalows for older residents (including me!)	9/30/2015 9:47 AM
80	500 or 400 houses is too many for local amenities to cope with.	9/25/2015 10:06 AM