

Policy Intention Document

Q2 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 45 Skipped: 248

#	Responses	Date
1	I have concerns about public transport, community and recreation services and walking and cycling routes.	10/16/2015 3:29 PM
2	It is important that each settlement maintains its own character and does not form one humongous mass.	10/16/2015 2:52 PM
3	Thank you for providing the opportunity for local residents to have our say.	10/16/2015 12:22 PM
4	I would like to see evidence of people and housing demographics, including projections.	10/15/2015 1:23 PM
5	500 houses, about 1000 more cars. You really believe the people of these villages want this???	10/15/2015 10:36 AM
6	Issue of toilets in Haworth for public/tourists use needs addressing.	10/15/2015 10:28 AM
7	Especially employment. Giving our young people a place to work without moving away or excessive travel to and from work.	10/14/2015 1:16 PM
8	The aims are not anti development but ensures that any such developments are in keeping with the area which I fully support.	10/14/2015 12:56 PM
9	Need to see precise details of what is actually planned.	10/14/2015 12:48 PM
10	But more houses would need more shops and schools.	10/14/2015 12:44 PM
11	We need to look at encouraging tree preservation orders for important trees so that developers/private individuals respect their importance in townscape/landscape.	10/7/2015 1:28 PM
12	I regret that the contribution of William Grimshaw to Haworth has been largely forgotten - in many ways greater than that of the Brontes.	10/7/2015 1:22 PM
13	Which definitive plan? Nothing specific has a plan, we can all have aims, when will action take place.	10/7/2015 1:10 PM
14	Against any new builds on green belt.	10/7/2015 1:07 PM
15	As long as all the aims are considered, not just more housing but no further facilities.	10/7/2015 12:59 PM
16	Will this steering group have any sway?	10/7/2015 10:44 AM
17	I agree with the need to conserve the green land and protect our villages however we don't want our village ruined with more houses. We are too overpopulated already don't encourage the spoiling of our village.	10/7/2015 10:24 AM
18	Are you sure you will be able to do everything set out in this plan?	10/7/2015 10:22 AM
19	Like 3 identities of villages. Although we welcome and depend on tourists the emphasis must be on local housing and facilities.	10/7/2015 10:18 AM
20	If housing is allowed on Weavers Hill conditions should be imposed to prevent access from Weavers Hill. Otherwise long time disruption to traffic will decimate the tourist trade.	10/7/2015 9:53 AM
21	Yes we need a bank, but an estate agents would be useful.	10/6/2015 10:11 AM
22	It would be good for things to change in Cross Roads especially but this village is very depressive.	10/6/2015 9:37 AM
23	I think its particularly important to secure better walking and cycling routes within the parish.	10/6/2015 9:34 AM
24	No new development in greenbelt	10/6/2015 9:29 AM
25	I am surprised and disappointed that the NDP makes no mention of the traffic flow and parking on Haworth Main St. This seems to increase on a weekly basis. There have been many occasions when I have been on Main St with grandchildren and I have been concerned for their safety and my own. I feel strongly that making Main St one way and better controlling parking spaces would reduce the H&S risk. As some of the other policies do not constitute H&S risk I wonder why this has not been included.	10/5/2015 2:42 PM
26	Land allocated for housing development and car parking should be a decision for Bradford Councillors not the Parish as they are experienced with this.	10/5/2015 2:33 PM

Policy Intention Document

27	Agree with parking space standards, facilities, housing, employment & tourism and traffic & transport and local heritage development and not wider parish heritage and inkeeping with those buildings.	10/5/2015 2:22 PM
28	appropriate housing for older existing residents to move onto. Freeing up larger homes for families. New small business initiatives, low rents, local crafts which could increase tourism.	10/5/2015 2:10 PM
29	I am against new housing in Haworth. Improve the local environment instead. It has become a tip.	10/5/2015 1:57 PM
30	See comments on housing and transport	10/5/2015 1:51 PM
31	Apart from new housing which we object to, all the other aims we agree with.	10/5/2015 11:14 AM
32	We need more doctors surgeries and more schools.	10/5/2015 11:06 AM
33	Something needs to be done about the amount of dog muck on pavements and more signs and someone enforcing it.	10/5/2015 11:01 AM
34	and stop the erection of any more wind turbines.	10/5/2015 10:55 AM
35	However in practice the interpretation may be at odds with the views of individuals and are open to interpretation eg: what is the best possible way.	10/5/2015 10:42 AM
36	second paragraph last sentence - transport. an impossible target, the village is not big enough.	10/3/2015 4:53 PM
37	You need to decide whether Haworth is to be a dormitory village or an industrial one. Then development can be progressed accordingly. This comment refers to the last two aims in particular.	10/3/2015 4:45 PM
38	Except that some policies conflict - development versus preservation. The Heritage is threatened.	10/3/2015 4:39 PM
39	Very difficult to follow. need plan or map	10/2/2015 3:29 PM
40	Although there were no specifics included the sentiments were good.	10/2/2015 3:08 PM
41	I disagree with order of aims. Employment should be higher and this will also help transport. public transport needs to link to main services. Manage tourism is missing but impacts everything.	10/2/2015 2:49 PM
42	It is essential that each village/settlement remains separated from the others.	10/2/2015 2:39 PM
43	Not sure about the comments on Stanbury. Eco-friendly and energy efficiency??	10/2/2015 2:31 PM
44	Mostly	9/30/2015 9:39 AM
45	Very much on its own terms	9/25/2015 9:58 AM