

Policy Intention Document

Q10 Do you have any other comments, questions, or concerns?

Answered: 43 Skipped: 250

#	Responses	Date
1	Statement is too vague. How will best interests be determined? Not I hope by planners and politicians alone.	10/16/2015 3:38 PM
2	New employment projects should use existing brownfield sites wherever possible.	10/16/2015 3:12 PM
3	I would be wary of using land for new employment, again there are many unused buildings. I don't like the idea of a 'chain' hotel but an independent wouldn't be a bad thing.	10/16/2015 3:02 PM
4	This does rely on businesses wanting to come into this area. Are incentives needed other than buildings?	10/16/2015 2:54 PM
5	Hotel!!! Lord help us.	10/16/2015 12:25 PM
6	I would like to see a quality community building, big enough to hold functions combined with library and space etc.	10/15/2015 1:28 PM
7	Haworth needs a hotel with function room, leisure facilities, restaurant etc.	10/15/2015 12:46 PM
8	Existing employment land such as Ebor Mills will be lost if it is given over to housing.	10/15/2015 12:44 PM
9	Sign for pedestrians from Stanbury to Haworth across reservoir banking turning left on to trade leading to Cemetery Rd. This would avoid pedestrians on Stanbury to Haworth road which is dangerous.	10/15/2015 10:34 AM
10	You really do need to make more of the Bronte connection - signposted walks on the moor. blue plaque etc.	10/15/2015 10:16 AM
11	Lees Cross Roads already has Nelsons who were said to be one of the biggest employees in the 3 villages. It would be interesting to know how many people are employed/self employed/with a business in each village. Housing suitable for older people, bungalows, and smaller properties for people to get on the ladder. Warden assisted accommodation would provide employment opportunities. A hotel is an excellent idea. I would like to see the derelict Mill Hey chapel turned into a useful asset. Again this would provide employment.	10/14/2015 1:26 PM
12	We certainly don't need a hotel in the area.	10/14/2015 1:14 PM
13	Agree but its important to ensure any outline development briefs for key site are viable to future commercial developers otherwise policy ET3 will fail. One idea is to link commercial sites with residential to create enabling developments.	10/14/2015 1:08 PM
14	Needs to see precise details of what is actually planned. This is a serious area of concern.	10/14/2015 12:49 PM
15	Don't need a large hotel or art & craft centre. Focus on improving Main St and Keighley provision.	10/14/2015 12:46 PM
16	Agree with ET1 and ET3 Would need to see any proposals in detail and on case by case basis before agreeing with ET2	10/14/2015 12:42 PM
17	Policies ET1 and ET2 'may' rather than 'will' this is weak. No focus on tourism.	10/7/2015 1:26 PM
18	Residents should be consulted on any changes.	10/7/2015 1:20 PM
19	What would you like me to agree or disagree with? Generalised to the point of meaningless.	10/7/2015 1:16 PM
20	Parking problems are not down to visitors, but residents, parking on pavements making them unusable to pedestrians and prams.	10/7/2015 1:11 PM
21	No to any new developments unless on original used land.	10/7/2015 1:08 PM
22	Too much emphasis and money spent on tourist signs etc. parking is a problem, hugh coaches on the narrow roads.	10/7/2015 10:49 AM
23	Agree to make better use of exisiting buildings - see Hebden Bridge and Art Centres.	10/7/2015 10:21 AM
24	I think there is significant potential for better commercial development in respect of the tourist market especially for accommodation.	10/7/2015 10:11 AM
25	Better signage is really needed or even of current signage and tidying up may help. Can't see need for hotel as loads of B&Bs and guest houses which are not always occupied.	10/6/2015 10:26 AM
26	Not just Bronte Country - It's Railway Children country - promote it.	10/6/2015 10:13 AM
27	Sadly Haworth is a 'stop once' spot and does not use the resources it has already.	10/6/2015 10:09 AM
28	Very keen on mill re development where possible.	10/6/2015 9:36 AM

Policy Intention Document

29	Plenty of sites already available.	10/6/2015 9:30 AM
30	Lets build on the current level of community by ensuring more local people can work locally.	10/5/2015 2:47 PM
31	ET2 - Again who is qualified to allocate land as employment land? I think this will make you very unpopular especially as you take money from council taxes. Leave it to Bradford Council. ET3 - Local residents would have liked Tesco on Mill Hey.	10/5/2015 2:36 PM
32	There should be one central point for these types of services, all together rather than multiple buildings. Services should share buildings.	10/5/2015 2:27 PM
33	ET2 - Agree, but must be visually in keeping with historical area. No heavy goods lorries. etc.	10/5/2015 2:11 PM
34	Ok on existing land.	10/5/2015 11:15 AM
35	in theory	10/5/2015 10:44 AM
36	As previous. Bradford Council is appropriately qualified to make the right decision. close liaison policy only.	10/5/2015 10:37 AM
37	The wording is inadequate. It is far from clear what the steering group actually intends to do here.	10/3/2015 4:56 PM
38	If a dormetary village most unused industrial/commercial empty properties could be used for housing.	10/3/2015 4:48 PM
39	Again a bit woolly and leaving door open for inappropriate industry.	10/3/2015 4:42 PM
40	We do need a hotel but not on green belt land. We have enough brown sites to build on.	10/3/2015 4:23 PM
41	Again brown field before green field.	10/2/2015 3:20 PM
42	I have never had any confidence in Bradford Council. They have no idea whatsoever on commercial development.	10/2/2015 3:11 PM
43	Needs a large field somewhere for carnivals, festivals.Park has to be free so often unsuitable. Employment support, encourage/sponsor small business advisor sessions in area, networking. Tourism - Needs clear lead, promote the mountain biking/walking. No big hotel. Local employment is key to a lot of Haworth, getting people into p/t jobs as stepping stone.	10/2/2015 3:00 PM